arXiv:1702.08749v2 [math.GR] 19 Mar 2017

ON THE LATTICE OF OVERCOMMUTATIVE VARIETIES OF MONOIDS

S. V. GUSEV

Abstract. It is unknown so far, whether the lattice of all varieties of monoids satisfies some non-trivial identity. The objective of this note is to give a negative answer to this question. Namely, we prove that any finite lattice is a homomorphic image of some sublattice of the lattice of overcommutative varieties of monoids (i.e., varieties that contain the variety of all commutative monoids). This implies that the lattice of overcommutative varieties of monoids, and therefore, the lattice of all varieties of monoids does not satisfy any non-trivial identity.

We study the lattice of varieties of monoids, i.e., algebras with two operations, namely an associative binary operation and a 0-ary operation that fixes the neutral element. There are many articles devoted to varieties of monoids. But the most part of them deals with the identities of the monoids. At the same time, only a little information is known so far about the lattice of monoid varieties which we denote by **MON**. In the works [4] and [12], respectively, the lattices of all commutative and all idempotent monoid varieties are described. In [7, Theorem 1], an example of a monoid variety without covers in the lattice **MON** is discovered. In [5, Subsection 3.2] two monoid varieties are exhibited such that the subvariety lattices of both the varieties are finite, while the subvariety lattice of their join is uncountably infinite and does not satisfy the ascending chain condition. Also, there are a few papers where a description of subvariety lattices of some concrete monoid varieties appeared as auxiliary results (see [6, Lemma 4.1], for instance). These results probably form a majority of all currently known results regarding the lattice **MON**. This is in sharp contrast with a large number of striking and deep results about the lattice of semigroup varieties obtained so far (see the survey [10]).

Due to insufficient knowledge of the lattice of monoid varieties, many natural questions here remain open so far. In particular, it is unknown so far, whether this lattice satisfies some non-trivial identity. The objective of this note is to give a negative answer to this question. Note that the negative answer to the analogous question for the lattice of semigroup varieties was found in 1971 in two articles by Burris and Nelson [1, 2].

A variety of monoids is called *overcommutative* if it contains the variety of all commutative monoids. Evidently, the class of all overcommutative varieties forms a sublattice in the lattice of all monoid varieties, and we denote this sublattice by **OC**. The main result of this article is the following

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20M07, secondary 08B15.

Key words and phrases. monoid, variety, lattice of varieties.

Supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (project 1.3253.2017).

Theorem. *The lattice* **OC** *of all overcommutative monoid varieties does not satisfy any non-trivial identity.*

We note that the analog of this theorem for semigroup varieties follows from [11, Corollary 4.4].

Note also that the fact that the lattice **MON** does not satisfy any non-trivial identity was proved recently by I.Mikhailova. More precisely, she has proved that the lattice of all periodic monoid varieties contains an anti-isomorphic copy of the partition lattice over a countably infinite set (a private communication). It is well known that any non-trivial identity is false in the lattice with the above mentioned property [8]. It may be mentioned here that the lattice **OC** does not contain an anti-isomorphic copy of the partition lattice over a countably infinite set. This follows from two observations. First, the analogous claim is true for the lattice of overcommutative semigroup varieties [11, Corollary 2.4]. Second, it is easy to see that the lattice **OC** is a sublattice of the lattice of overcommutative semigroup varieties. In this connection, the following open question seems to be natural.

Question. Does the lattice **OC** contain an anti-isomorphic copy of the partition lattice over any finite set?

To prove the main result, we need some definitions, notation and auxiliary assertions. As usual, we denote the principal ideal of a lattice L generated by an element $a \in L$ by $(a]_L$. The partition lattice over the set X is denoted by $\mathrm{Part}(X)$.

The following lemma describes principal ideals of partition lattices.

Lemma 1 ([3, Lemma 403(v)])**.** *Let α be the partition of a set X into two classes A and B. Then the map β from $(\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(X)}$ to $\mathrm{Part}(A) \times \mathrm{Part}(B)$ given by the rule*

$$\beta \longmapsto (\beta|_A, \beta|_B) \text{ for any } \beta \in (\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(X)}$$

is a lattice isomorphism. □

First, we fix the notation. The free semigroup and the free monoid over the same countably infinite alphabet are denoted by F and F^1 respectively. Two parts of identities we connect by the symbol $\approx$, while the symbol $=$ denotes the equality relation on F^1. Elements of the monoid F^1 are called *words*. The empty word is denoted by the symbol λ. Words, unlike letters, are written in bold. As usual, $\mathrm{End}(F)$ and $\mathrm{End}(F^1)$ denote the monoid of endomorphisms of the semigroup F and monoid F^1, respectively. The following statement is the specialization for monoids of a well-known universal-algebraic fact.

Lemma 2. *The identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ holds in the variety of monoids given by an identity system Σ if and only if there exists a sequence of words $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_n = \mathbf{v}$ such that, for any $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, there are words $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i \in F^1$, an endomorphism $\xi_i \in \mathrm{End}(F^1)$ and an identity $\mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{v}_i$ from the system Σ such that either $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{a}_i\xi_i(\mathbf{u}_i)\mathbf{b}_i$ and $\mathbf{w}_{i+1} = \mathbf{a}_i\xi_i(\mathbf{v}_i)\mathbf{b}_i$ or $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{a}_i\xi_i(\mathbf{v}_i)\mathbf{b}_i$ and $\mathbf{w}_{i+1} = \mathbf{a}_i\xi_i(\mathbf{u}_i)\mathbf{b}_i$.* □

For a set X of letters and a word $\mathbf{w}$, we denote by $\mathbf{w}_X$ the word that is obtained from $\mathbf{w}$ by deleting all letters from X. We denote by $\mathrm{con}(\mathbf{w})$ the *content* of the word $\mathbf{w}$, i.e., the set of all letters occurring in $\mathbf{w}$. The number of occurrences of a letter x in a word $\mathbf{w}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{occ}_x(\mathbf{w})$. Further, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{FIC}}(F^1)$ the lattice of all fully invariant congruences on F^1 and by $\mathrm{FIC}(\mathbf{V})$ the fully invariant congruence on F^1 corresponding to the monoid variety $\mathbf{V}$. It is a general knowledge that the

map FIC: $\mathbf{MON} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{FIC}}(F^1)$ is a lattice anti-isomorphism. For any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in F$, we define $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{v}$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a}\xi(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in F^1$ and some $\xi \in \mathrm{End}(F)$. The relation $\leq$ is a quasiorder on F. For an arbitrary anti-chain $A \subseteq F$, we consider the set L_A of all monoid varieties $\mathbf{V}$ with the propery that A is a union of $\mathrm{FIC}(\mathbf{V})$-classes. We define the map $\varphi_A \colon L_A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Part}(A)$ by the rule $\varphi_A(\mathbf{V}) = \mathrm{FIC}(\mathbf{V})|_A$ for each $\mathbf{V} \in L_A$.

The following lemma plays the key role in the proof of the main result. Note that the proof of this lemma is quite analogous to the proof of [9, Lemma 3].

Lemma 3. *Let A be an anti-chain in F and, for arbitrary two words $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in A$ and any non-empty set $X \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u})$, the equalities $\mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathrm{con}(\mathbf{v})$ and $\mathbf{u}_X = \mathbf{v}_X$ hold. Then:*

(i) *the set L_A is a sublattice of the lattice* **MON***;*
(ii) *the map φ_A is a surjective anti-homomorpism of the lattice L_A onto the lattice* $\mathrm{Part}(A)$*;*
(iii) *for any partition $\beta \in \mathrm{Part}(A)$ there is an overcommutative monoid variety $\mathbf{V} \in L_A$ with $\varphi_A(\mathbf{V}) = \beta$.*

Proof. (i) Let α be the partition of the monoid F^1 on two classes: A and $F^1 \setminus A$. Its principal ideal $(\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(F^1)}$ consists of all partitions β such that A is a union of β-classes. This observation and the definition of the set L_A imply that L_A is the pre-image of the sublattice $(\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(F^1)} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{FIC}}(F^1)$ of the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{FIC}}(F^1)$ under the anti-homomorphism FIC. Therefore, L_A is a sublattice of the lattice **MON**.

(ii) We define the map $\psi_A \colon (\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(F^1)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Part}(A)$ by the rule $\psi_A(\beta) = \beta|_A$ for any $\beta \in (\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(F^1)}$. By Lemma 1, the lattice $(\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(F^1)}$ is decomposable into the direct product of the lattices $\mathrm{Part}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Part}(F^1 \setminus A)$, and the map ψ_A is the projection on the first factor. Hence ψ_A is a lattice homomorphism. The map φ_A is a composition of restriction of the anti-isomorphism FIC to L_A, and the restriction of the homomorphism ψ_A to $(\alpha]_{\mathrm{Part}(F^1)} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{FIC}}(F^1)$. Therefore, φ_A is an anti-homomorphism. It remains to verify that φ_A is surjective. Let $\beta \in \mathrm{Part}(A)$ and let $\mathbf{V}$ be the variety given by all identities of the form $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ with $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \beta$. We need to show check that $\mathbf{V} \in L_A$ and $\varphi_A(\mathbf{V}) = \beta$. This is true whenever every β-class is a $\mathrm{FIC}(\mathbf{V})$-class. Thus, we need to verify that if an identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ holds in $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in A$ then $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \beta$. By Lemma 2 and induction, we can reduce our considerations to the case when $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{a}\xi(\mathbf{u}')\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a}\xi(\mathbf{v}')\mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in F^1$, $\xi \in \mathrm{End}(F^1)$ and a pair of words $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}') \in \beta$. Suppose that $\xi(x) = \lambda$ for some letter $x \in \mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}')$. Then $\xi(\mathbf{u}') = \xi(\mathbf{v}')$ because $\mathbf{u}'_X = \mathbf{v}'_X$ for any non-empty set $X \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}')$. Then $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$, whence $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \beta$. Suppose now that ξ maps all letters from $\mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}')$ into non-empty words. Then there is an endomorphism $\zeta \in \mathrm{End}(F)$ with $\xi|_{\mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}')} = \zeta|_{\mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}')}$. Then $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{a}\zeta(\mathbf{u}')\mathbf{b}$. This means that $\mathbf{u}' \leq \mathbf{u}$. Since $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{u}'$ lie in the anti-chain A, we have $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}'$. Then $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} = \lambda$ and $\xi(x) = x$ for any letter x from the words $\mathbf{u}'$ and $\mathbf{v}'$. Therefore, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'$. Thus, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}' \,\beta\, \mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}$, whence $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \beta$.

(iii) It follows from the proof of the claim (ii) that if $\beta \in \mathrm{Part}(A)$ and $\mathbf{V}$ is the monoid variety given by all identities of the form $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ with $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \beta$ then $\mathbf{V} \in L_A$ and $\varphi_A(\mathbf{V}) = \beta$. To prove the claim (iii), it remains to check that the variety $\mathbf{V}$ is overcommutative. Let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \beta$ and $x \in \mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u})$. Put $X = \mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}) \setminus \{x\}$. By the hypothesis, $\mathbf{u}_X = \mathbf{v}_X$, whence $\mathrm{occ}_x(\mathbf{u}) = \mathrm{occ}_x(\mathbf{v})$. Then the equality

$\mathrm{con}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathrm{con}(\mathbf{v})$ implies that $\mathrm{occ}_x(\mathbf{u}) = \mathrm{occ}_x(\mathbf{v})$ for any letter x. It is well known that this implies the desired conclusion. □

Now we start with the direct proof of the main result. We denote by $\ell(\mathbf{w})$ the length of the word $\mathbf{w}$. Let n be a natural number. We are going to check that the set of words

$$A_n = \{x^{n-i}yx^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is an anti-chain. Suppose that this is not the case. Then there are different i, j such that $x^{n-i}yx^i = \mathbf{a}\xi(x^{n-j}yx^j)\mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in F^1$ and some $\xi \in \mathrm{End}(F)$. Note that

$$\ell(x^{n-i}yx^i) = \ell(x^{n-j}yx^j) = n + 1 \leq \ell(\xi(x^{n-j}yx^j)),$$

whence $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} = \lambda$. We see that the endomorphism ξ maps letters to letters. It is easy to see that in this case $x^{n-i}yx^i \neq \xi(x^{n-j}yx^j)$. Thus, we have proved that the set of words A_n is an anti-chain. Also, it is evident that $\mathbf{u}_{\{x\}} = \mathbf{v}_{\{x\}} = x^n$, $\mathbf{u}_{\{y\}} = \mathbf{v}_{\{y\}} = y$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\{x,y\}} = \mathbf{v}_{\{x,y\}} = \lambda$ for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in A_n$. Now Lemma 3(i),(ii) implies that the map φ_{A_n} is an anti-homomorphism of the lattice L_{A_n} onto the lattice $\mathrm{Part}(A_n)$. Lemma 3(iii) implies that the restriction of the homomorphism φ_{A_n} to the lattice $L_{A_n} \cap \mathbf{OC}$ is an anti-homomorphism of this lattice onto $\mathrm{Part}(A_n)$.

We have proved that the partition lattice over an arbitrary finite set is an anti-homomorphic image of some sublattice of the lattice $\mathbf{OC}$. Suppose that the last lattice satisfies some non-trivial identity ε. Then the identity dual to ε holds in $\mathrm{Part}(A_n)$ for any n. But it is well known that the class of all partition lattices of finite sets does not satisfy any non-trivial identity [8]. This completes the proof of the main result. □

Acknowledgments. The author is sincerely grateful to Professor Vernikov for his attention and assistance in the writing of the article, to Drs. Edmond W.H. Lee and Azeef Parayil for several valuable suggestions for improving the text, and to Dr. Shaprynskiĭ for helpful discussions.

References

[1] S.Burris and E.Nelson, *Embedding the dual of Π_∞ in the lattice of equational classes of semigroups*, Algebra Universalis, **1** (1971), 248–254.

[2] S.Burris and E.Nelson, *Embedding the dual of Π_m in the lattice of equational classes of commutative semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **30** (1971), 37–39.

[3] G.Grätzer, Lattice Theory: Foundation, Birkhäuser, Springer Basel AG, 2011.

[4] T.J.Head, *The varieties of commutative monoids*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde, III Ser., **16** (1968), 203–206.

[5] M.Jackson and E.W.H.Lee, *Monoid varieties with extreme properties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., doi.org/10.1090/tran/7091.

[6] E.W.H.Lee, *Inherently non-finitely generated varieties of aperiodic monoids with central idempotents*, Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI (Notes of Scientific Seminars of the St. Petersburg Branch of the Math. Institute of the Russ. Acad. of Sci.), **423** (2014), 166–182.

[7] Gy.Pollák, *Some lattices of varieties containing elements without cover*, Quad. Ric. Sci., **109** (1981), 91–96.

[8] D. Sachs, *Identities in finite partition lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 944–945.

[9] V.Yu.Shaprynskiĭ, *Periodicity of special elements of the lattice of semigroup varieties*, Proc. Inst. of Math. and Mechan. of the Ural Branch of the Russ. Acad. Sci., **18**, No.3 (2012), 282–286 [Russian].

[10] L.N.Shevrin, B.M.Vernikov and M.V.Volkov, *Lattices of semigroup varieties*, Izv. VUZ. Matem., No.3 (2009), 3–36 [Russian; Engl. translation: Russ. Math. (Izv. VUZ), **53**, No.3 (2009), 1–28].

[11] M.V.Volkov, *Young diagrams and the structure of the lattice of overcommutative semigroup varieties*, P.Higgins (ed.), Transformation Semigroups, Proc. Int. Conf. Held at the Univ. Essex, Colchester, University of Essex (1994), 99–110.
[12] S.L.Wismath, *The lattice of varieties and pseudovarieties of band monoids*, Semigroup Forum, **33** (1986), 187–196.

Ural Federal University, Institute of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Lenina 51, 620000 Ekaterinburg, Russia
E-mail address: sergey.gusb@gmail.com